

A Novel Approach for Tamil - English translation and vice versa using RNN

Abstract

This study focused on improving a better approach for Tamilto-English translation and vice versa using RNN. End of the study a novel approach for Tamil-to-English translation and another for English-to-Tamil translation were found to build a Neural Machine Translation system. Here optimizers and bridges had an impact on performance. BLEU scores were used to measure the performance of the system. Finally, the best performing model for Tamil-to-English translation was obtained with a BLEU score of 8.13. The best performing model for English-to-Tamil translation was obtained with a BLEU score of 4.66 which outperforms Google translator that has the score of 4.06. It shows that models with less number of layers can perform better than a high number of layers in terms of computing power while using appropriate optimizers and bridging technologies.

Introduction

Nowadays people unavoidably needs to use machines for translation purposes. In order to meet this need, the studies on machine translation systems emerge in recent years. Big companies like Google, Microsoft also take much effort into building efficient machine translation systems. There are several machine translation techniques such as rule-based translation techniques, and statistical machine translation techniques. Even though Neural Machine Translation (NMT) is getting attention because of its accuracy and behaviour like human translation. So it is an active research topic all over the world. Google Neural Machine Translation (GNMT) system is a well known NMT system that was introduced in 2016 and used in Google translator by Google. More than 100 languages are supported by Google translator including Tamil and English. However, there is a need for many improvements in its performance. So this topic was



Figure01: GNMT architecture

Objective

The objective of this research project is to build a neural machine translation system for Tamil to English and vice versa using recurrent neural network (RNN).

R.Kasthuriraajan and Dr.S.Mahesan Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Science, University of Jaffna kasthuriraajan94@gmail.com

 Methodology There are three major components in this study. 1)Pre-processing the dataset 2)Training Neural Machine Translation models with training dataset and validation dataset. 3)Testing the trained models with the testing dataset and obtain results. Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) was selected to build neural machine translation models in this research project. A publicly available Tamil-to-English parallel corpus from various domains (EnTam V2) which was compiled by Loganathan Ramasamy was used for this study. Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) was selected to learn encoding and applied to all datasets except the target test data. Vocabulary was created from source and target datasets. All training and validation datasets were changed into torch tensors. In neural machine translation systems, the encoder-decoder mechanism is used to translate language pairs. First source language is encoded by RNN encoders and then RNN decoders decode them into target language. Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) was used in this research experiment to overcome the Long term Dependency Problem. Two layers of bidirectional LSTMs (Bi-LSTM) were selected as encoder with 500 hidden layers and two layers of LSTMs were selected as decoders. Two optimization methods were experimented here. One is <i>adarm</i> with learning rate 0.001 and the other one is <i>sgd</i> with learning rate 1.0. A bridge is an additional layer between an encoder to decoder that defines how information is passed from encoder to decoder. Here two models were trained with bridge and two models without bridge. Finally, the translated sentences were compared with target test data. Using the BLEU scoring system, the accuracy of each model was measured and compared with each other. ENCODER_Eiger02:Basic Architecture of the System 	
 There are three major components in this study. Pre-processing the dataset Praining Neural Machine Translation models with training dataset and validation dataset. Posting the trained models with the testing dataset and obtain results. Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) was selected to build neural machine translation models in this research project. A publicly available Tamil-to-English parallel corpus from various domains (EnTam V2) which was compiled by Loganathan Ramasamy was used for this study. Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) was selected to learn encoding and applied to all datasets except the target test data. Vocabulary was created from source and target datasets. All training and validation datasets were changed into torch tensors. In neural machine translation systems, the encoder-decoder mechanism is used to translate language pairs. First source language is encoded by RNN encoders and then RNN decoders decode them into target language. Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) was used in this research experiment to overcome the Long term Dependency Problem. Two layers of bidirectional LSTMs (Bi-LSTM) were selected as encoder with 500 hidden layers and two layers of LSTMs were selected as decoders. Two optimization methods were experimented here. One is <i>adarm</i> with learning rate 0.001 and the other one is <i>sgd</i> with learning rate 1.0. A bridge is an additional layer between an encoder to decoder. Here two models were trained with bridge and two models without bridge. Finally, the translated sentences were compared with target test data. Using the BLEU scoring system, the accuracy of each model was measured and compared with each other. 	Methodology
 Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) was selected to build neural machine translation models in this research project. A publicly available Tamil-to-English parallel corpus from various domains (EnTam V2) which was compiled by Loganathan Ramasamy was used for this study. Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) was selected to learn encoding and applied to all datasets except the target test data. Vocabulary was created from source and target datasets. All training and validation datasets were changed into torch tensors. In neural machine translation systems, the encoder-decoder mechanism is used to translate language pairs. First source language is encoded by RNN encoders and then RNN decoders decode them into target language. Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) was used in this research experiment to overcome the Long term Dependency Problem. Two layers of bidirectional LSTMs (Bi-LSTM) were selected as encoder with 500 hidden layers and two layers of LSTMs were selected as decoders. Two optimization methods were experimented here. One is <i>adam</i> with learning rate 0.001 and the other one is <i>sgd</i> with learning rate 1.0. A bridge is an additional layer between an encoder and decoder that defines how information is passed from encoder to decoder. Here two models were trained with bridge and two models without bridge. Finally, the translated sentences were compared with target test data. Using the BLEU scoring system, the accuracy of each model was measured and compared with each other. ENCODER (ATTENTION (DECODER), Figure02 :Basic Architecture of the System 	 There are three major components in this study. 1)Pre-processing the dataset 2)Training Neural Machine Translation models with training dataset and validation dataset. 3)Testing the trained models with the testing dataset and obtain results.
 A publicly available Tamil-to-English parallel corpus from various domains (EnTam V2) which was compiled by Loganathan Ramasamy was used for this study. Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) was selected to learn encoding and applied to all datasets except the target test data. Vocabulary was created from source and target datasets. All training and validation datasets were changed into torch tensors. In neural machine translation systems, the encoder-decoder mechanism is used to translate language pairs. First source language is encoded by RNN encoders and then RNN decoders decode them into target language. Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) was used in this research experiment to overcome the Long term Dependency Problem. Two layers of bidirectional LSTMs (Bi-LSTM) were selected as encoder with 500 hidden layers and two layers of LSTMs were selected as decoders. Two optimization methods were experimented here. One is <i>adam</i> with learning rate 0.001 and the other one is <i>sgd</i> with learning rate 1.0. A bridge is an additional layer between an encoder and decoder that defines how information is passed from encoder to decoder. Here two models were trained with bridge and two models without bridge. Finally, the translated sentences were compared with target test data. Using the BLEU scoring system, the accuracy of each model was measured and compared with each other. 	Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) was selected to build neural machine translation models in this research project.
 Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) was selected to learn encoding and applied to all datasets except the target test data. Vocabulary was created from source and target datasets. All training and validation datasets were changed into torch tensors. In neural machine translation systems, the encoder-decoder mechanism is used to translate language pairs. First source language is encoded by RNN encoders and then RNN decoders decode them into target language. Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) was used in this research experiment to overcome the Long term Dependency Problem. Two layers of bidirectional LSTMs (Bi-LSTM) were selected as encoder with 500 hidden layers and two layers of LSTMs were selected as decoders. Two optimization methods were experimented here. One is <i>adam</i> with learning rate 0.001 and the other one is <i>sgd</i> with learning rate 1.0. A bridge is an additional layer between an encoder and decoder that defines how information is passed from encoder to decoder. Here two models were trained with bridge and two models without bridge. Finally, the translated sentences were compared with target test data. Using the BLEU scoring system, the accuracy of each model was measured and compared with each other. ENCODER ATENTION ACTION DECODER Figure02 :Basic Architecture of the System 	A publicly available Tamil-to-English parallel corpus from various domains (EnTam V2) which was compiled by Loganathar Ramasamy was used for this study.
 In neural machine translation systems, the encoder-decoder mechanism is used to translate language pairs. First source language is encoded by RNN encoders and then RNN decoders decode them into target language. Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) was used in this research experiment to overcome the Long term Dependency Problem. Two layers of bidirectional LSTMs (Bi-LSTM) were selected as encoder with 500 hidden layers and two layers of LSTMs were selected as decoders. Two optimization methods were experimented here. One is <i>adam</i> with learning rate 0.001 and the other one is <i>sgd</i> with learning rate 1.0. A bridge is an additional layer between an encoder and decoder that defines how information is passed from encoder to decoder. Here two models were trained with bridge and two models without bridge. Finally, the translated sentences were compared with target test data. Using the BLEU scoring system, the accuracy of each model was measured and compared with each other. ENCODER ATTENTION DECODER Figure02:Basic Architecture of the System 	Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) was selected to learn encoding and applied to all datasets except the target test data. Vocabulary was created from source and target datasets. All training and validation datasets were changed into torch tensors.
 Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) was used in this research experiment to overcome the Long term Dependency Problem. Two layers of bidirectional LSTMs (Bi-LSTM) were selected as encoder with 500 hidden layers and two layers of LSTMs were selected as decoders. Two optimization methods were experimented here. One is <i>adam</i> with learning rate 0.001 and the other one is <i>sgrd</i> with learning rate 1.0. A bridge is an additional layer between an encoder and decoder that defines how information is passed from encoder to decoder. Here two models were trained with bridge and two models without bridge. Finally, the translated sentences were compared with target test data. Using the BLEU scoring system, the accuracy of each model was measured and compared with each other. ENCODER ATTENTION DECODER Figure02 :Basic Architecture of the System 	In neural machine translation systems, the encoder-decoder mechanism is used to translate language pairs. First source language is encoded by RNN encoders and then RNN decoders decode them into target language.
 Two optimization methods were experimented here. One is adam with learning rate 0.001 and the other one is sgd with learning rate 1.0. A bridge is an additional layer between an encoder and decoder that defines how information is passed from encoder to decoder. Here two models were trained with bridge and two models without bridge. Finally, the translated sentences were compared with target test data. Using the BLEU scoring system, the accuracy of each model was measured and compared with each other. ENCODER ATTENTION DECODER Figure02 :Basic Architecture of the System 	Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) was used in this research experiment to overcome the Long term Dependency Problem. Two layers of bidirectional LSTMs (Bi-LSTM) were selected as encoder with 500 hidden layers and two layers of LSTMs were selected as decoders.
Finally, the translated sentences were compared with target test data. Using the BLEU scoring system, the accuracy of each model was measured and compared with each other. ENCODER ATTENTION DECODER Figure02 :Basic Architecture of the System	Two optimization methods were experimented here. One is adamwith learning rate 0.001 and the other one is sgd with learning rate 1.0. A bridge is an additional layer between an encoder and decoder that defines how information is passed from encoder to decoder. Here two models were trained with bridge and two models without bridge.
ENCODER ATTENTION DECODER Figure02 :Basic Architecture of the System Reference	Finally, the translated sentences were compared with target test data. Using the BLEU scoring system, the accuracy of each model was measured and compared with each other.
Referenc	ENCODER ATTENTION DECODER Figure02 :Basic Architecture of the System
	Reference
1. Yonghui Wu, Mike Schuster, Zhifeng Chen, Quoc V Le, Mohammad Norouz	1. Yonghui Wu, Mike Schuster, Zhifeng Chen, Quoc V Le, Mohammad Norouz

- et al. Google's neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08144, 2016. last revised 19 May 2016.
- 3. Kyunghyun Cho, Bart van Merrienboer, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Yoshua Bengio. On the Properties of Neural Machine Translation: Encoder-Decoder Approaches.arXiv:1409.1259 [cs.CL], 7 Oct 2014.

			Ex	perimenta	al Setup	
n this research DpenNMT wh equence learni	n project, Op ich is an o ing.	enNMT-j pen sour	by was used to ce (MIT licent	o do experim nse) ecosyste	ents. This is a research- em for neural machine	friendly Pytorch port of translation and neural
The following efined as 500 idden layers, f very 5000 step	models were b. Every mod 100,000 train ps, the traine	e created del was taning steps d models	with the help or rained with 2 and <i>mlp</i> attended with 2 and <i>mlp</i> attended were saved.	of OpenNM layers Bidir ntion type wi	F-py. Word vector size for ectional RNN, 2 layers th the specific attributes	or source and target was of RNN decoders, 500 mentioned below. After
Table 01:Models	S Model 1 Mode		ndel 2 Model 1 Model		Table 02:Data set	
	(EnTa)	(EnTa)	(TaEn)	(TaEn)	Training Data	166 871 Sentences
RNN type	LSTM	LSTM	LSTM	LSTM	Testing Data	2,000 Sentences
Optimizer	adam	sgd	adam	sgd	Validation Data	1,000 Sentences
Bridge	False	False	True	True		
				Deculto		
Google Tran Table 03: Engl	slator and evision ish-to-Tamil tr	valuated v	vith the BLEU	scoring met	hod. le 04:Tamil-to-English trans	lation results
Model			BLEU Score		odel	BLEU Score
LSTM+mlp+adam(EnTa))	4.59		TM+mlp+adam+bridge (
LSTM+mlp+sgd(EnTa)			4.66		TM_mln_sqd_bridge (T	(TaEn) 8.13
GNMT(Goo				_~	I M + IIIp + sgu + biluge (1	(TaEn) 8.13 aEn) 7.81
011111(000)	gle Translato	or)	4.06	GN	IMT(GoogleTranslator)	(TaEn) 8.13 aEn) 7.81 21.16
	gle Translato	or)	4.06	GN	IMT(GoogleTranslator) <u>The OpenNMT-py frame w</u> <u>https://github.com/OpenNN</u>	(TaEn) 8.13 aEn) 7.81 21.16 ork is available at T/OpenNMT-py
	gle Translato	or)	4.06		INTIMPESSUEDTUGE (TA IMT(GoogleTranslator) <u>The OpenNMT-py frame w</u> <u>https://github.com/OpenNM</u>	(TaEn)8.13aEn)7.8121.16ork is available at (T/OpenNMT-py)on & Conclusion
	gle Translato	or)	4.06	GN Constraints of the second	INTERPORTED FOR USE (14) IMT(GoogleTranslator) <u>The OpenNMT-py frame w</u> <u>https://github.com/OpenNM</u> Discussion Some Neural Mach more layers in the corpus for training But here only two la	TaEn)8.13aEn)7.8121.16ork is available at (T/OpenNMT-py)on & Conclusionon & Conclusionnine Translation systems u oir model and a big parall (E.g. GNMT uses 8 layers ayers were used with a limit
Sitter (Cook) Sitter (Cook) Sit	gle Translato	or)	4.06	GN	IMT (Google Translator) The OpenNMT-py frame w https://github.com/OpenNM Discussion Some Neural Mach more layers in the corpus for training But here only two la number of parali- performance was ga	(TaEn)8.13aEn)7.8121.16ork is available at (T/OpenNMT-py)IT/OpenNMT-pyOn & Conclusionon & Conclusionnine Translation systems user (E.g. GNMT uses 8 layer ayers were used with a limit lel corpora and a between ined.

i, Wolfgang Macherey, Maxim Krikun, Yuan Cao, Qin Gao, Klaus Macherey, 2. Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, Yoshua Bengio, Neural Machine Translation by Jointly Learning to Align and Translate. arXiv:1409.0473v7 [cs.CL],



to-English gained a BLEU score of 8.13.

We could thus conclude that an NMT system can be implemented using this technique with low resources